

Inverting the signature of a path

Weijun Xu

Joint work with Terry Lyons

November 6, 2014

The signature of a path

Euclidean coordinates: $w = e_{i_1} \cdots e_{i_n}$; define

$$C(w) = \int_{0 < u_1 < \cdots < u_n < 1} d\gamma_{u_1}^{i_1} \cdots d\gamma_{u_n}^{i_n}.$$

The **signature** of γ is the collection of all $C(w)$'s, denoted by $X(\gamma)$. It captures the **ordered evolution** along the path through the order of the letters.

Examples:

- 1 $ax * by \mapsto \exp(ax) \exp(by)$;
- 2 $by * ax \mapsto \exp(by) \exp(ax)$;
- 3 $ax + by \mapsto \exp(ax + by)$.

[Crisan-Litterer-Lyons](#): Nonlinear filtering

[Graham](#): Handwriting recognition, music sound compression.

[Ni-Oberhauser](#): Time series analysis for high frequency data

[Papavasiliou-Ladroue](#): Parameter estimation for rough differential equations

Hambly-Lyons: paths of finite lengths are uniquely determined by their signatures.

If α, β are two paths of finite lengths, then $X(\alpha) = X(\beta)$ if and only if $\alpha * \beta^{-1}$ is equivalent to a null path.

Question: how to reconstruct the reduced path from its signature?

Boedihardjo-Geng-Lyons-Yang: uniqueness for rough paths.

Main reconstruction theorem

Theorem (Lyons, X.)

By using the signature $X(\gamma)$ only, we can find a piecewise linear path $\tilde{\gamma}$ with k pieces such that

$$\sup_{u \in [0,1]} |\tilde{\gamma}'_u - \gamma'_u| < C\epsilon_k$$

when both are parametrized at unit speed (with respect to ℓ^1 norm), and $\epsilon_k \rightarrow 0$ depending on modulus of continuity of γ' .

Commutative in smaller scales; noncommutative in larger scales.

Key: how to rule out noncommutativity in small scales?

Main reconstruction theorem

The piecewise linear path $\tilde{\gamma}$ has the form

$$\tilde{\gamma} = \tilde{\gamma}_1 * \cdots * \tilde{\gamma}_k,$$

where

$$\tilde{\gamma}_j = \frac{\tilde{L}}{k} \left(a_x^{(j)} \rho_j x + a_y^{(j)} (1 - \rho_j) y \right).$$

Hope: each $\tilde{\gamma}_j$ approximates $\gamma_{[\frac{j-1}{k}, \frac{j}{k}]}$ in the ℓ^1 sense.

$\rho_j, 1 - \rho_j \in [0, 1]$ represents the **unsigned direction**;

$a_x^{(j)}, a_y^{(j)} \in \{\pm 1\}$ represents the **sign**;

$\tilde{L} > 0$ approximates the **ℓ^1 length**.

Recovering the increment

Symmetrization averages out the order.

Summing over all words of length n with k x 's and $n - k$ y 's:

$$\mathcal{S}(k, n - k) = \sum_{\mathcal{W}_{k, n-k}} C(w) = \binom{n}{k} (\Delta x)^k (\Delta y)^{n-k}.$$

Maximum: $\frac{k^*}{n-k^*} \approx \frac{|\Delta x|}{|\Delta y|} \Rightarrow$ recovers **unsigned direction**.

$$\sum_{|k-k^*| < \epsilon} |\mathcal{S}(k, n - k)| \approx \sum_k |\mathcal{S}(k, n - k)|$$

Move one level up: comparing $\mathcal{S}(k^* + 1, n - k^*)$ and $\mathcal{S}(k^*, n - k^*)$ gives **the sign of the x direction**.

Symmetrization

Symmetrizing k blocks with block size $2n$:

$$\underbrace{*****}_{2n} e_{i_1} \underbrace{*****}_{2n} e_{i_2} \cdots \cdots e_{i_{k-1}} \underbrace{*****}_{2n} .$$

Key: pattern in block j are roughly determined by $\gamma_{[\frac{j-1}{k}, \frac{j}{k}]}$.

Steps:

- 1 Recovering the **unsigned directions** by checking non-degeneracy.
- 2 Recovering the **signs** by moving one level up.
- 3 Recovering the **length** by a scaling argument.

Remark: only uses level $2nk + k - 1$ and $2nk + k$.

Consequences of the reconstruction:

- 1 Tail signatures already determine \mathcal{C}^1 paths.
- 2 Higher level signatures describe finer structures of the path.
Quantitative description?

What have we learned?

- 1 Symmetrization counts the frequency but **neglects the order**; so it gives **local increments**.
- 2 A certain **non-degeneracy** criterion is often needed in recovering the directions.